Files\\training set\_ellen - § 126 references coded [ 6.74% Coverage]

Reference 1 - 0.06% Coverage

One potential problem is that there are musicians who played different genres of music

Reference 2 - 0.06% Coverage

Ironically, a local radio station here in Colorado was doing a segment on this very topic.

Reference 3 - 0.06% Coverage

I’ve done a lot of cleaning (alas, mostly by hand), but there are still likely some errors.

Reference 4 - 0.07% Coverage

Obviously, the spike at age 27 is unique and unnatural. But I’d like to know: just how unique is it?

Reference 5 - 0.05% Coverage

I just discovered that my dataset is missing some prominent jazz musicians!

Reference 6 - 0.06% Coverage

The first chart, I was wandering about the grey lines. There is no country connected to them.

Reference 7 - 0.17% Coverage

I realize I wrote more about process than actual observations in my data. This was primarily because there were so many comparisons to draw, it did get confusing, and I wasn’t sure what kind of statement I wanted to make with this particular data set.

Reference 8 - 0.10% Coverage

When I started reading your analysis, I was going to be shocked that a disease or a combination of diseases accounted for the population loss.

Reference 9 - 0.04% Coverage

Myself, I am new to the idea and this has been a challenge.

Reference 10 - 0.03% Coverage

I’m confused without a title to each graph.

Reference 11 - 0.06% Coverage

I’m questioning whether this data accurately counted the percentage of ELLs at each school.

Reference 12 - 0.05% Coverage

it seems strange to me that \*none\* of them are English-language learners.

Reference 13 - 0.09% Coverage

I did find it confusing though that you used the same color palette to for the boroughs in the map as you did for the races in the stacked bar.

Reference 14 - 0.05% Coverage

I was also concerned about the colors repeating for different purposes.

Reference 15 - 0.05% Coverage

Like many of the commenters here, I am concerned about your color choices.

Reference 16 - 0.12% Coverage

My only concern is that the data is so highly concentrated in the bottom left of the graphs and it can get difficult to tell them apart due to colors of the same hue but different opacity.

Reference 17 - 0.09% Coverage

I sometimes find the stacked bar chart difficult to interpret in terms of understanding which category has the greatest quantity

Reference 18 - 0.06% Coverage

Indeed it was not obvious for me which one of charts will represent better data over time

Reference 19 - 0.03% Coverage

Eastern Europe amount is barely noticeable.

Reference 20 - 0.04% Coverage

I had some difficulty identifying the continent on graph 4.

Reference 21 - 0.03% Coverage

This analysis, in deep, left me lot of questions.

Reference 22 - 0.08% Coverage

I am not sure if I would know what percentage is ""a lot"" of young people and what percentage is ""a lot"" of old people.

Reference 23 - 0.05% Coverage

I had some difficulty understanding the colours on the right-hand side

Reference 24 - 0.07% Coverage

I’ve found it a bit difficult to read into the data from the visualisation in this kind of presentation.

Reference 25 - 0.10% Coverage

can’t figure out how to get rid of the country codes on the side, which is problematic b/c there are more countries than there is room for on the image.

Reference 26 - 0.05% Coverage

Trying to get data into the right format will always be a challenge.

Reference 27 - 0.08% Coverage

The second one (in my point of view) is one the most demanding and crucial as there are many challenges to overcome.

Reference 28 - 0.05% Coverage

But now I’m not sure for where I live, I’m cautious enough by your observations.

Reference 29 - 0.04% Coverage

please excuse my horrible attempt for a quick map, so sorry!

Reference 30 - 0.05% Coverage

it is a barrier that it seems difficult to trace the historical change.

Reference 31 - 0.05% Coverage

the hardest part of data analysis is simply getting the data into a useful shape

Reference 32 - 0.04% Coverage

I can sympathize with the inconsistency in data sources.

Reference 33 - 0.04% Coverage

Cleaning and formatting the data is usually half the battle.

Reference 34 - 0.04% Coverage

To be honest, I didn’t expect this to be so frustrating at times :)

Reference 35 - 0.06% Coverage

Sorry attachments were missed in the original post the process was not quite clear.

Reference 36 - 0.03% Coverage

Sorry about the last graph being too small to read.

Reference 37 - 0.04% Coverage

I’m left wondering why 11 has no data displayed at all.

Reference 38 - 0.08% Coverage

My only quibble with the visual is the labels on each line the key on the bottom was enough for me to follow the trends.

Reference 39 - 0.04% Coverage

I made a mistake and linked the wrong version of the project.

Reference 40 - 0.07% Coverage

I found a bright colour or two at the lower end of the happiness index, which is a bit confusing visually

Reference 41 - 0.06% Coverage

I also have to keep going back to the key to remind myself which color represents which country.

Reference 42 - 0.09% Coverage

I don’t really agree with the chart option you gave because it looks like a scatterplot without being one which can be confusing

Reference 43 - 0.07% Coverage

I think the first and second page is so many color and no label for each bar, so i think it’s hard to read.

Reference 44 - 0.06% Coverage

One small item I was confused about is the Y Axis and data detail mapping on the bar chart

Reference 45 - 0.02% Coverage

It led me to more questions.

Reference 46 - 0.03% Coverage

interpretation of the data is always tricky.

Reference 47 - 0.04% Coverage

I find that it’s hard to look at the timeline at the bottom

Reference 48 - 0.03% Coverage

I feel like I’m missing a lot of information

Reference 49 - 0.04% Coverage

It all seems to go very fast and you have to pause to really absorb.

Reference 50 - 0.06% Coverage

I couldn’t really tell it it was giving a cumulative toll, or the toll in specific instances.

Reference 51 - 0.02% Coverage

that may be a flourish problem.

Reference 52 - 0.05% Coverage

Still I’d like to be able to ""tinker"" with the Flourish templates a bit more

Reference 53 - 0.03% Coverage

it took me quite some time to realise it, too.

Reference 54 - 0.06% Coverage

I do not know if there is a chance to fit the size of the explosion with the number of victims.

Reference 55 - 0.06% Coverage

But... but there’s a problem.you have not thought about other risks for the little mouse.

Reference 56 - 0.04% Coverage

IT’s a bit difficult to understand at a first glance the rankings

Reference 57 - 0.03% Coverage

It took me a few minutes to figure this out.

Reference 58 - 0.04% Coverage

I would only say that the typeface is less readable than desired

Reference 59 - 0.05% Coverage

It took a while to try and get an understanding for the other Flourish visuals

Reference 60 - 0.07% Coverage

I struggled to give it good context and colourMore could be done with its features which i did not explore fully

Reference 61 - 0.04% Coverage

Without the labels, it’s hard to tell the percentages

Reference 62 - 0.05% Coverage

I have a question, though, I haven’t seen any statistics about budget.

Reference 63 - 0.02% Coverage

please help me to clarify a topic

Reference 64 - 0.06% Coverage

I miss the legend for the animated graph (unless it’s hidden somewhere I can’t see it)

Reference 65 - 0.03% Coverage

apparently the UNESCO data is incomplete

Reference 66 - 0.06% Coverage

so there have been some issues about what to count as ""films"" by data aggregators

Reference 67 - 0.05% Coverage

I should explain what Bollywood is, I assumed everyone would know.

Reference 68 - 0.05% Coverage

The map in the current format does not bring any info, it just confuses me.

Reference 69 - 0.03% Coverage

The only thing I was wondering about is slide no. 4.

Reference 70 - 0.03% Coverage

I have often trouble with data in this kind of way.

Reference 71 - 0.07% Coverage

I can understand why somewhere is happening something, but I cannot use any right data to prove it.

Reference 72 - 0.02% Coverage

The only hiccup seemed to be slide 4

Reference 73 - 0.04% Coverage

I didn’t understand how that fit exactly into the narrative

Reference 74 - 0.04% Coverage

It seems there are some issues with your submissions, look:

Reference 75 - 0.06% Coverage

The only thing that was difficult to me when reading the graphics was the order of the countries.

Reference 76 - 0.04% Coverage

I tried to find them in the graphic, but this took me some  
time.

Reference 77 - 0.09% Coverage

The only criticism I could make (but that I don’t know how to fix either, is that in the first slide, it’s difficult to discern trends.

Reference 78 - 0.11% Coverage

A more technical question: I tried to make separate bar charts (first page in your story) but I couldn’t find the right way to select columns to get the legenda on top.

Reference 79 - 0.08% Coverage

I saw that the information on happiness in this report conflicted with the report of the Gallup International Institute

Reference 80 - 0.07% Coverage

There is also a cool possibility to compare some the graphs, but lots of graphs a little bit to hard.

Reference 81 - 0.06% Coverage

I had to minimize the information I was using in Inzight so I could manage to create a chart.

Reference 82 - 0.04% Coverage

the grey text box overlapping the data as being too distracting

Reference 83 - 0.03% Coverage

I think the header space is too easy to miss.

Reference 84 - 0.09% Coverage

there are a lot of text, both in the caption of the story and in the text/image-slides. That sometimes makes it hard to see the information.

Reference 85 - 0.04% Coverage

I was  
also distracted by the gray text box overlapping the data.

Reference 86 - 0.05% Coverage

I actually tried doing that, but then found out the lines overlapped quite a bit

Reference 87 - 0.07% Coverage

I would perhaps recommend to space out the charts vertically as I am getting things a little mixed up

Reference 88 - 0.09% Coverage

I’m sorry, English is not my first language and with these technical things it’s sometimes difficult to understand the terms. :)

Reference 89 - 0.04% Coverage

I wasn’t quite sure  
what to make of the Sankey diagrams

Reference 90 - 0.03% Coverage

Some having trouble with the original posting.

Reference 91 - 0.04% Coverage

I was unable to get to the page for your PowerPoint slides

Reference 92 - 0.06% Coverage

it was too long to fit in the discussion window (on my tablet) so I could not select it to open it

Reference 93 - 0.06% Coverage

but after I had done several I couldn’t get the scaling to hold when going back and forth to them.

Reference 94 - 0.03% Coverage

Always something else to do and learn.

Reference 95 - 0.04% Coverage

I had some trouble knowing what point you were trying to make.

Reference 96 - 0.04% Coverage

Just couldn’t get Flourish to work for just one state’s counties.

Reference 97 - 0.04% Coverage

I have never used Tableau, so I expect it is hard to change legends

Reference 98 - 0.03% Coverage

I think there are too many categories

Reference 99 - 0.04% Coverage

A very difficult issue because of the consequences on people.

Reference 100 - 0.03% Coverage

However, I can’t understand the 3rd slide

Reference 101 - 0.03% Coverage

it is a bit of a hairball and hard to understand

Reference 102 - 0.04% Coverage

It is hard to tell throughout what point you are trying to make

Reference 103 - 0.04% Coverage

The network diagram is a bit confusing at first glance

Reference 104 - 0.02% Coverage

I also had some issues with Flourish

Reference 105 - 0.06% Coverage

I’m sorry, just the colors that I used are red and green.I had not thought about colorblinds

Reference 106 - 0.05% Coverage

Indeed, it is not clear, it means that there is no data for the greyed out regions.

Reference 107 - 0.06% Coverage

only that in the graphics the information was very crowded for the amount of countries

Reference 108 - 0.07% Coverage

but I had a little trouble understanding what was the thread tying all of these visualizations together.

Reference 109 - 0.05% Coverage

For some reason, it struck me because 2018 started having more than usual

Reference 110 - 0.04% Coverage

The only thing I am concerned is it being too much crowding.

Reference 111 - 0.03% Coverage

Though, you are right. I should be labeling them.

Reference 112 - 0.06% Coverage

I can’t read the name of the provinces (I suppose because of the size width of the div class)

Reference 113 - 0.06% Coverage

However, two in two different moments, the graph shows a disturbing in a pattern.

Reference 114 - 0.03% Coverage

I don’t understand what the each bar means.

Reference 115 - 0.05% Coverage

I love the lead and the conclusion drawn by the graphs here, but I have one worry.

Reference 116 - 0.03% Coverage

Your second is a bit complicated, though.

Reference 117 - 0.05% Coverage

I agree the second is complicated and I was just unsure what to do about that

Reference 118 - 0.06% Coverage

if the names of the commodities were not so long I think I would have tried other things first

Reference 119 - 0.02% Coverage

I don’t know the answer.

Reference 120 - 0.06% Coverage

I have some doubts about the numbers, those for the different ages seem much too high to me.

Reference 121 - 0.10% Coverage

At the bottom of the chart, several small parties have similar results over time, so they overlap one another, and make the chart hard to read.

Reference 122 - 0.15% Coverage

For several of your slides, at least on my little iPad, the grey annotation block was laid right on top of the viz, partially obscuring the viz, or at least distracting from it, despite the grey block being somewhat transparent.

Reference 123 - 0.04% Coverage

I found the graph a little bit difficult to understand.

Reference 124 - 0.02% Coverage

I lost a perception of a map.

Reference 125 - 0.07% Coverage

While you have in fact done this on slide 2, I found it difficult to remember by the time I made it to slide 10.

Reference 126 - 0.05% Coverage

Sometimes it is a bit confusing to spot the differences between small values